

No. ID/SPT/138-83/48665.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raj Singh and the management of M/s Khanna Tourist Bus Service, Gohana (Sonapat), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether [the termination of service of Shri [Raj Singh, [was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/KNL/53-83/48672.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Smt. Santosh Kumari and the management of M/s Shalimar Handloom Industries, Parkash Colony, Tahsil Camp, Panipat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;—

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the industrial disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Smt. Santosh Kumari was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is she entitled ?

No. ID/FD/103-83/48685.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Smt. Parvesh Kumari and the management of M/s Felco Electronics & Engineering, Ltd, Plot No. 313, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act; the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Smt. Parvesh Kumari was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is she entitled ?

No. ID/HSR/51-83/48698.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Niranjana Singh and the management of The Sirsa Central Cooperative Bank Ltd., Sirsa, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Niranjana Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/237-82/48716.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Sh. P. S. Somasundaran and the management of S.E. (MTC) Haryana State Electricity Board, Thermal Power, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Sh. P. S. Somasundaran is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,  
Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Labour Department.